

TENDER 16-20

WATER TREATMENT BUILDING

ADDENDUM NO. 10

October 25th, 2016

This addendum forms part of the Tender Documents and shall be read, interpreted, and coordinated with all other parts. The costs of all elements contained herein shall be included in the submission. The following revisions, changes, corrections, additions, and or deletions supersede the information contained in the original Documents to the extent referenced and shall become part thereof.

Addendum Item 1
Questions & Answers

332. Proponent Question:

The reason the question was asked is two fold. One to determine if "potable" water was available from the hydrant for chlorination of the line. If the slug method of chlorination is to be used, then the slug has to be pushed up the line by potable water. It the water is untreated, then potable water will have to either be piped from the nearest available potable water source, or trucked in with sanitized trucks. Alternatively, if potable water is not available, then the entire line would need to be chlorinated between 10-25 ppm and would then need to be neutralized prior to discharge. The answer to the question is necessary to determine the best and most economical method to chlorinate the line. The other part of the question was to determine if it was water available for testing of the line and at what pressure. That was answered. Can you please clarify whether the hydrant is a potable water source, and if not, is there another one available nearby?

Response:

No, a potable water source does not exist at this location. The contractor is responsible for provision of all necessary potable water for testing purposes and this cost should be incidental to the Commissioning exercise.

333. Proponent Question:

Please advise if the Sanitary Lift Station will be tendered separately (as per page 5 of the Adendum#9 it has been removed from scope of work for now).

Response:

This item will be relocated on the site with details being developed so as to ease the complexity of this installation which will be dealt with as a Change post award.

334. Proponent Question:

We require clarification regarding the revised crane section (41 22 00) from addendum #9: Section 2.4.2 / 2.4.3 - Calls for 2 hoists on the mono rail @ 4200lbs capacity each, and the mono rail to have the same rating - this is contrary to Worksafe requirements unless the hoists will be physically separated by at least one mono rail support space. Otherwise both hoists could be operated side by side applying a total load to the mono rail of 8400lbs

Response:

Thank you for the comments, but please price as per the specification. Disregard Clause 2.3.4, no explosion proof requirements.

335. Proponent Question:

Regarding Section 41 22 00 Cranes:

- a. Section 2.3.4 No information provided in 2.4, please provide missing spec.
- b. Section 2.4.1.3 This spec is contrary to Work Safe regulations.
- c. Section 2.4.2.3 This spec is contrary to Work Safe regulations.
- d. Section 2.4.3.3 This spec is contrary to Work Safe regulations.

Response:

Thank you for the comments, but please price as per the specification. Disregard Clause 2.3.4, no explosion proof requirements.

336. Proponent Question:

Addendum#9, item 5 in page 5 of the attached addendum says "the supply and the installation of the wet well and all equipment contained within shall be removed from the tender. Could you please confirm that?

Response:

This item will be relocated on the site with details being developed so as to ease the complexity of this installation which will be dealt with as a Change post award.

Addendum Item 2 Tender Closing Date Revision

Change Tender closing date from "Thursday October 27th, 2016" and replace with "**Tuesday November 1**st, **2016**".

End of Addendum

Acknowledgement of this Addendum in your Tender submission is required.

Clinton J. Crook, SCMP, CPSM Senior Buyer